

NATIONAL SPORTS UNIVERSITY

(2nd floor,Olympic Bhawan Khuman Lampak sports complex Imphal,Manipur,INDIA)

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENT FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR _____

Name Depa	e: rtment:				Course: Year/Semester:() / / / V					
Please	e give a r	ating	of your co	urse on the	following:					
9	3	3	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Extre	m Ve	ry	Good	Moderat	Moderat	Someho Poor		Very	Extrem	ie
ely		Good ely Good e		W		Poor	ly Poor	r		
Good					Tolerabl			-		
	e									
SI. No.	Particu	Rating								
1	Learnin	a va	alue (in t	erms of	skills. cor	cepts. kr	nowledge,			
	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)									
2				to real life		-				
3			course cor							
4	Extent	of cov	erage of c	ourse						
5	Extent	of eff	ort required	d by studen	ts					
6	Relevar	nce/ le	earning val	ue of projec	ct/ report					
7	Overall				•					
2. The syllabus was										
1) Challe	2) Adequate 3) 4) Dull lenging Inadequate				الد	5) Irreleva	nt	()		
				ing from the						
1) Hig		2) R	elevant	3) Partly	4) Mo	-	5)		()	
releva										
4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?										
1) 90 t 100%	to	2) 7	5 to 90%	3) 50 to 7!	5% 4) 40) to 50%	5) less tha 40%	an	()	
5. Wha	at is you	r opin	ion about t	the library h	noldings for	the cours	e?			
1) Exc	ellent	2) A	dequate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Po	oor	5) Very Po	or	()	

6. Were you at	ole to get t	he pre	escribed rea	ading	js?						
1) Very Good	2) Good		3) Average	e	4)	Poor	5) Very Poo	r		()
7. The internal	evaluation	n syst	em as it exi	ists i	S						
1) Very Good	2) Good		3) Average	e	4)	Poor	5) Very Poo	r		()
8. In your op assessment ac			ch of the	total	we	ightage of	a course sh	nould	d th	e into	ernal
1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50%				4) 25%		25%	5) Below 25%			()	
9. Is the intern	9. Is the internal assessment system conducive to (tick in the relevant cell)										
				Hig y	jhl	Appreciab ly	Moderat ely	Poc	orly	Not all	at
understanding											
early discovery interaction wit											
regular work	ii tile teaci	ici									
continuous sel	f assessme	ent									
10. The interna	10. The internal assessment system operated (give percentage)										
1) Fairly in ho	w many co	urses	()		2)	Unfairly in l	how many co	urse	es ()
11. When you you feel that y				aker	ı a s	imilar progr	amme at oth	ner l	Jnive	ersitie	es do
1) Superior		2) E	qual			3) Inferior				()
12. How do yo	ou rate the	stude	ent-teacher	relat	tions	ship in the U	niversity as a	a wh	ole?		
1) Very Good 2) Good			3) Satisfactory		4) Un y	satisfactor	5) Very Poor			()
13. How do yo	ou rate the	stude	ent-teacher	rela	tions	ship in your	department?				
1) Very Good	2) Good		3) Satisfacto	ry	4) Un y	satisfactor	5) Very Poo	r		()
14. How do yo	ou find the	Unive			rativ	ve offices?					
1) Very helpful	2) Helpfu	ıl	3) Indiffer	ent	4)	Unhelpful	5) Cumberson	ne		()

15. What is your rating on hostel facilities?

1) Very	/ good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor	()
16. Ho	w do you	u rate the	health	n care facilities?)				
1) Very	/ good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor	()
		rticipate ir	n any (of the extra cur	ricular activit	ies of the	Departme	ent/ Un	iversity?
1) Very often 2) Often				3) Sometimes			ever	()	
	nat was t			eachers to extra		ctivities?		()
	•			rsity been intel					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1) Yes			2) M	arginally	3) No			()
20. Aft	er leavir	ng the Univ	,	how will you t	alk about it?				
1) Prou	ıdly		2) In	differently	3) Disp	aragingly	/	()
21. Ov	erall Rat	ing of the	Progr	amme (tick in t	he relevant c	ell)			
21. Ove	erall Rat Particu		Progr	amme (tick in t	Very Good	Good	Averag e	Poor	Very Poor
SI No.	Particu Acader	lars nic conten	ıt	amme (tick in t	Very		_	Poor	1
SI No.	Particu Acader Fairnes	lars mic contents of evaluation	it ation		Very		_	Poor	1
SI No. 1 2	Particu Acader Fairnes Interac	lars mic contents of evaluation with f	t ation aculty	/	Very		_	Poor	1
SI No. 1 2 3	Particu Acader Fairnes Interac	lars mic contents of evaluation with fi	t ation aculty	/	Very		_	Poor	1
SI No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	Acader Fairnes Interac Library Compu	lars mic contents of evaluation with facilities tter facilities	t ation aculty admin	/	Very		_	Poor	1
SI No. 1 2 3 4 5	Particu Acader Fairnes Interac Interac Library Compu	lars mic contents of evaluation with facilities ter facilities	t ation aculty admin	/	Very		_	Poor	1
SI No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Particu Acader Fairnes Interac Interac Library Compu Hostel Recrea	lars mic contents of evaluation with facilities ter facilities facilities tional facil	it ation aculty admin es	, istration	Very		_	Poor	1
SI No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Particu Acader Fairnes Interac Interac Library Compu Hostel Recrea Extra-c	lars mic contents of evaluation with a facilities ter facilities tional facilities tional facilities at the facilities tional facilities at facilities tional facilities at facilities	it ation aculty admin es	, istration	Very		_	Poor	1
SI No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Particu Acader Fairnes Interac Interac Library Compu Hostel Recrea Extra-c Sports	lars mic contents of evaluation with facilities ter facilities facilities tional facil	ation aculty admin es ities	istration	Very		_	Poor	1